

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,787		10/19/1999	TED DANIELS	M3850.0029/P	3297
25096	7590	06/23/2004	EXAMINER		
PERKINS	COIE LL	_P	CHOW, DOON Y		
PATENT-S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX		111 1047		TALER NOMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				2675	27
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		<del></del>		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- cs		09/420,787	9/420,787 DANIELS, TED	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dennis-Doon Chow	2675	
The MAIL Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONTI  - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. HS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of the light will apply and will expire SIX (6) More, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status				
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	ve to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi application is in condition for allowa accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal m	•	e merits is
Disposition of Clai	ms			
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	2-4,6-16,18-24,26-28 and 30-34 is/a above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed. 2-4,6-16,18-24,26-28 and 30-34 is/a is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. re rejected.	tion.	
Application Papers	<b>;</b>	•		
10)∭ The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	ication is objected to by the Examin ng(s) filed on is/are: a) ac- nay not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to objected to objected to objected to object of the drawing (s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	•
Priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) [ 1. Cer 2. Cer 3. Cop app	dgment is made of a claim for foreig  Some * c)  None of:  tified copies of the priority documentified copies of the priority documenties of the certified copies of the priority documenties.	nts have been received. Its have been received in prity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Reference	ces Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2-4, 6-16, 18-24, 26-28, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for "an external alternating current power adapter fixedly mounted to said keyboard" as recited in the independent claims 18 and 30.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. (5400213) in view of Yang et al. (6304250), Merkel (5510953) and Kim (5955797).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2675

Honda discloses a portable personal computer, comprising: a base having a controller for controlling operations thereof; a display attached to the base; and a removable keyboard for communicating with the controller in the base through a signal interface when mounted into or removed from the base (see Figs. 1-2).

Honda does not disclose the signal interface being wireless.

Yang, in the same input field, discloses a keyboard device communicates to a computer device by either a hardwire or wireless communication. The keyboard device comprises a rechargeable battery for powering the keyboard device when the keyboard is operated in the wireless communication. The compute device supplies power to recharge the rechargeable battery when the hardwire communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Yang's wireless connection for Honda's hardwired connection because Yang teaches both wireless and hardwired connection can be used. By using the wireless connection, keyboard can be moved around freely.

Honda does not explicitly disclose using the recess for receiving one side surface of the keyboard.

Merkel, in the same input field, discloses a mounting structure for mounting a removable keyboard into a computer base unit. The structure comprises a recess for receiving a side surface of the keyboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Merkel's concept in Honda's invention because Merkel's mounting structure is very simple and it is ease to make.

Art Unit: 2675

The modified Honda does not explicitly disclose recharging the battery using an AC adaptor. However, using AC adapter for recharging a rechargeable battery from an external power source is well known in the art as shown by Kim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Kim's AC adaptor for recharging Yang's rechargeable battery in the invention of the modified Honda. This would have been obvious because the AC adaptor allows the rechargeable battery to be recharged without turning the computer device.

Regarding claims 6-8, using a pointing device such a track ball or a touch pad in the keyboard is well known in the art.

Regarding to claims 11 and 15, using a radio frequency connection instead of the infrared connection in the wireless communication is well known in the art.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Yang et al., Merkel and Kim as applied to claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 above, and further in view of Brusky et al. (5903259).

The modified Honda does not disclose using two infrared devices.

Brusky, in the same input art, discloses using more than one infrared devices for transmitting infrared signals from a remote keyboard to a computer base unit (see Fig. 2), wherein each of the infrared devices is located in each side of the keyboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Brusky's infrared devices in the invention of the modified Honda because more infrared devices provide better transmission.

Art Unit: 2675

6. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Yang et al., Merkel and Kim as applied to claims 2-4, 6-13, 15-16 and 18-21 above, and further in view of Klein et al (6205021).

The modified Honda does not disclose the use of a spring ball bearing.

Klein, in the same input art, discloses a mounting structure for mounting an input device into a computer base unit. The mounting structure comprises a spring ball bearing (38 Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Klein's spring ball bearing in the invention of the modified Honda. By doing so, a good contact can be created between the keyboard and the base.

7. Claims 26, 28, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. (5400213) in view of Yang et al. and Kim.

Honda discloses a portable personal computer, comprising: a base having a controller for controlling operations thereof; a display attached to the base; and a removable keyboard for communicating with the controller in the base through a signal interface when mounted into or removed from the base (see Figs. 1-2).

Honda does not disclose the signal interface being wireless.

Yang, in the same input field, discloses a keyboard device communicates to a computer device by either a hardwire or wireless communication. The keyboard device comprises a rechargeable battery for powering the keyboard device when the keyboard

Art Unit: 2675

is operated in the wireless communication. The compute device supplies power to recharge the rechargeable battery when the hardwire communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute Yang's wireless connection for Honda's hardwired connection because Yang teaches both wireless and hardwired connection can be used. By using the wireless connection, keyboard can be moved around freely.

The modified Honda does not explicitly disclose recharging the battery using an AC adaptor. However, using AC adapter for recharging a rechargeable battery from an external power source is well known in the art as shown by Kim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Kim's AC adaptor for recharging Yang's rechargeable battery in the invention of the modified Honda. This would have been obvious because the AC adaptor allows the rechargeable battery to be recharged without turning the computer device.

8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Yang et al. and Kim as applied to claims 26, 28, and 30-33 above, and further in view of Brusky et al. (5903259).

The modified Honda does not disclose using two infrared devices.

Brusky, in the same input art, discloses using more than one infrared devices for transmitting infrared signals from a remote keyboard to a computer base unit (see Fig. 2), wherein each of the infrared devices is located in each side of the keyboard.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2675

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Brusky's infrared devices in the invention of the modified Honda because more infrared devices provide better transmission.

9. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda et al. in view of Yang et al. and Kim as applied to claims 26, 28, and 30-33 above, and further in view of Klein et al (6205021).

The modified Honda does not disclose the use of a spring ball bearing.

Klein, in the same input art, discloses a mounting structure for mounting an input device into a computer base unit. The mounting structure comprises a spring ball bearing (38 Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Klein's spring ball bearing in the invention of the modified Honda. By doing so, a good contact can be created between the keyboard and the base.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's with arguments with regarding to the fixedly mounted adapter are irrelevant because of reasons presented in the above 112 rejection.

### **Conclusion**

Art Unit: 2675

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 8

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-

305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After

Final communications.

D. Chow AU. 2675 June 21, 2004

DENNIS-DOON CHOW